

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/813,692 | 03/21/2001 | Yutaka Shimizu | 2500.65339 | 3442 | |
| 7: | 590 01/27/2003 | | | | |
| Patrick G. Burns, Esq. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| GREER, BURN Suite 2500 | NS & CRAIN, LTD. | | UHLIR, N | UHLIR, NIKOLAS J | |
| 300 South Wacker Dr. | | 1 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Chicago, IL 6 | 000 | · ' | 1773 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003 | | |

U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| » 🗽 | | | AS2 | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|----------|--|--|
| | Application No. | A, cant(s) | | | |
| | 09/813,692 | SHIMIZU ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nikolas J. Uhlir | 1773 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows | | | rits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | ic priority under 35 U.S.C | § 119(e) (to a provisional appli | cation). | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , , | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | BEST AVAILABLE C | :OPY | | |

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6 and 11-13, drawn to a magnetoresistive film, classified in class
 428, subclass 692.
 - Claims 7-9, drawn to a method for making a magnetoresistive film, classified in class 427, subclass 130.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could have been made by another and materially different process, such as by depositing the material layer without a heat treating step, and controlling the lattice structure of the material layer by adjusting the composition of the underlayer upon which the material layer is formed.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Patrick burns on 1/21/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,692

Art Unit: 1773

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to

a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR

1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at

least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR

1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nikolas J. Uhlir whose telephone number is 703-305-

0179. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

0389.

January 22, 2003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 3